



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,985	07/13/2001	Lilly Mae Vega	BIDF.0001	2247
22885	7590 07/03/2006		EXAMINER	
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C. 801 GRAND AVENUE			SHERR, CRISTINA O	
SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721			3621	
	•		DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/903,985	VEGA, LILLY MAE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Cristina Owen Sherr	3621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAILING THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			. '			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	nril 2006				
′—		action is non-final.				
3)		since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
, - <b>, _</b> ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	The Manual Control of the Control of	n			
4)⊠			he,			
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,16,18,23-29,31,32,35,41,50,74 and 75</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5, 16, 18, 23-29, 31-32, 35, 41, 5</u>	50 and 74-75 is/are rejected				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	10/4/10 10/00000				
•	· · · <del></del>	r election requirement	A.			
. •/	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		2)			
Application Papers Application Papers Application of the Property of the Prope						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	·				
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Driority (	indox 25 H S C S 440	in the second of				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachma-	t/c)					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Inform	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:						

Art Unit: 3621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed April 14, 2006. Claims 1, 32 and 41 have been newly amended. Claims 74 and 75 are newly added. Claims 1-3, 5, 16, 18, 23-29, 31-32, 35, 41, 50 and 74-75 are currently pending in this case. Applicant is reminded that claims 51-58 have been excluded pursuant to a requirement for restriction and election of species.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the section 103 claims 1-3, 5, 16, 18, 23-29, 31-32, 35, 41, and 50, as amended, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 3. The section 101 rejections of claims 32 and 41 are hereby withdrawn in view of the amended versions of said claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5, 16, 18, 23-29, 31-32, 35, 41, 50 and 74-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dangat et al (US 6,041,267) in view of Monster.com or Guru.com.

11 1 15 163

Application/Control Number: 09/903,985 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

6. Dangat teaches a method to provide common support for multiple types of solvers for matching assets with demand in microelectronics manufacturing. Although the instant application provides for services generally, microelectronics manufacturing is one type of service and it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the teachings of Dangat to a wide variety of services.

- 7. Further, Dangat discloses
- (1) Material Requirements Planning (MRP) type of matching--"Opportunity Identification" or "Wish list". For a given set of demand and a given asset profile, determine what work needs to be accomplished to meet demand. (e.g. col 5 In 35-40).
- (2) Best Can Do (BCD) type of matching. Given the current manufacturing condition and a prioritized set of demands, determine which demands can be met in what time frame and establish a set of actions or guidelines to insure the delivery commitments are met in a timely fashion. BCD generally refers to large sets of demands. (e.g. col 6 In 41-46)

  (3) Projected Supply Planning (PSP) type of matching. Given a set of assets, manufacturing specifications, and business guidelines what is the expected supply picture over the next "t" time units. (e.g. col 6 In 47-50).
- 8. Although the specific criteria in Dangat are merely similar, and not identical to those in the instant application, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Dangat in order to make available a wide variety services, with a minimum of intermediary manpower and maximum of available criteria in a user-friendly manner.
- 9. Additionally, Dangat does not disclose the anonymity limitation newly added in claim 1. Monster.com, however, does. Monster.com matches job requirements with

Art Unit: 3621

Page 4

available resumes and contacts the job applicants or resume posters without disclosing the identity of said resume posters to the job posters. Additionally, both of these services, will automatically, without human intervention, match up job requirements with resumes, avoid giving a resume to specific company, match for geographic preference, etc. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Dangat with well-known services such as guru.com or monster.com.

10. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

## Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. Milne et al (US 6,049,742) disclose projected supply planning matching assets with demand in microelectronics manufacturing.
- 13. Tambay et al (US 2002/0026403) discloses systems and methods for facilitating transaction in a commodity marketplace.

Art Unit: 3621

Page 5

- 14. Dietrich et al (US 5,630,070) disclose optimization of manufacturing resource planning.
- 15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 16. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina Owen Sherr whose telephone number is 571-272-6711. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through
- 18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

X 1 ...

in a na indiana.

Art Unit: 3621

Page 6

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

COS, 06/22/06

on a very reach diseas and either advace PAIR on Public

PRIMARY EXAMINE

er to entitled one

ER I Int 8: 6-21759 [9], Ikiti Freet, hiyou would like assult in a limm a